

Hazelbury Bryan Neighbourhood Plan

Options Consultation Questionnaire Autumn 2017

Thank you for taking the time to attend the consultation events at the village hall and completing this questionnaire. Your input through this consultation is really important as it will shape the proposals that are taken forward in the draft plan.

Q1. HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT Possible New Sites

Based on your review of the information provided, please tell us what you think about the suitability and acceptability of the different sites put forward for development, using the scoring scale of 1 – 5, with 5 being the most suitable. In some cases (marked *) there may be the possibility to include some form of

community benefit (such as additional parking for the village hall). For such sites please give two scores, the first based on just housing, the second (Score 2) based on including the * benefit.

Scoring Scale to use	
Highly Suitable / Acceptable	5
Suitable / Acceptable	4
Neutral	3
Unsuitable / Unacceptable	2
Highly Unsuitable / Unacceptable	1
No View – Don't Know	X

Site reference	Notes	Score	Score 2
4 - Field beside solar farm Kingston	Only part of field required		
7 - Former Martin's Depot, Back Lane	Brownfield site		
8 - The Antelope Field	Only part of field *2A if includes footpath link,		2A:
	*2B whole field if inc houses, open space, shop & business		2B:
11 - M Richard's Tractors site*	*2 Score box 2 based on business relocating locally		
12 - Beside Kings Stag Mill	For relocation of business (11) plus 1 house		
13 - The Retreat / Coney Lane (N part)	Small area including existing buildings		
18 - NW of Chicken Farm, to Military Rd	Only part of field required, businesses remain		
20 - Field opposite School at Droop	*2 + parking, open space and cemetery extension		
<i>'Amber' scoring sites (see next map)</i>			
2 - Higher field to rear of Village Hall*	*2 if includes footpath link and village hall parking		
10 - Paddock by Kingston Row			
14 - Paddock off Silly Hill			
15 - The Causeway / sewage works	*2 Score box 2 if just for employment / recreation		
16 - The Retreat / Coney Lane (S part)	Remaining field area of (13) for 1 dwelling		
21 - Churchfoot Lane opp. Cemetery	Only part of field required		
601 - Shop side of The Causeway	For 1 dwelling		

Less favourable site options (scoring poorly against assessment criteria)

Based on your knowledge, do you think any of the following are much more suitable than the potential development sites listed above and should be reconsidered? If YES, please tick which one/s

<input type="checkbox"/>	1 Single unit at The Common	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 SE side of Frizzels Hill	<input type="checkbox"/>	23 NW of Frizzels Hill
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 Site by Barn End, Wonston	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 Solar Farm by Coney Lane	<input type="checkbox"/>	24 Behind Winthrop Hse
<input type="checkbox"/>	5 Site behind The Orchard	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 In Nuttlebury woodland	<input type="checkbox"/>	602 Red Barn and field
<input type="checkbox"/>	6 Lower field, rear of V Hall	<input type="checkbox"/>	22 Field opp Military Lane	& please explain why below	

Please add here any specific points you would like to make about either the potential or rejected sites - remember to include the site reference number:

Q2. ENVIRONMENT

Our neighbourhood plan can protect local green spaces - based on your feedback we have now assessed 8 local sites and need to check whether you think they are important. You told us that views of the countryside are important - now is your chance to tell of any particular public viewpoints that really stand out from the rest. Another a common point made in the earlier consultation was the importance of keeping the gaps between the different hamlets, so we would like your opinion on whether you think these gaps should be protected, and where they start and finish. And finally other 'like' was about dark night skies, so we want to check whether you would like to see restrictions imposed on street lighting and major floodlighting schemes.

How important to you are the following green spaces and views:	Very important	Reasonably important	Not sure	Not important
- LGS-AF Alecs Field and Play Area				
- LGS-TC Cemetery				
- LGS-KA The Keep and Allotments				
- LSG-KG The Green, Kingston				
- LGS-PG The Green, Pidney				
- LGS-CY The Churchyard, Droop				
- LSG-HW Hazel Wood				
- LGS-NR Emerson Nature Reserve				
Please describe any important green spaces we have missed (<i>where</i>)				
Please describe any public viewpoints that are really important (<i>where from and to</i>)				
Should the gaps be protected? (ring)	Definitely	Probably	Not sure	No
Please describe here if you think the gaps as shown need to be changed				
Should street lighting be limited?	Definitely	Probably	Not sure	No

Q3. TRANSPORT AND TRAVEL

From the first consultation. most comments were about transport. Our neighbourhood plan is limited in what it can achieve, but we have identified possible improvements to the way traffic is managed, and the important paths within our village that we use to get around. Have we got this right, and if not, what should we change?

Topic Area	"Think Again!"	"Okay"	"Good Work!"
Traffic management			
Important local paths			
Comments on emerging ideas – what have we missed or got wrong?			

We will not publish personal data, but we need to show that we have avoided double-counting and that we have reached a broad spectrum of the local population.

So finally, please can you tell us your name and postcode (or street name):

If you don't live locally please explain your connection to the area:

Name	Home Postcode
Connection (if not a local resident)	

You can either hand the completed form in at the consultation event, or if you require more time please send it by post to M Wilson, Parish Clerk, HBPC, 4 The Orchard, Ibberton, DT11 0EL or by e-mail to parishclerk@hazelburybryan.net. We need your forms returned by no later than **Friday 13th October 2017**.